'Poison' inserted in the 'Bread of Life' by the Hortian theory

When the percentages do not add up to 100, there are other variants that are not mentioned. Numbers within () are more or less exact; those within [] are approximations—the percentages refer to the total of extant MSS. For a full statement of the evidence, please see my Greek Text.

- John 6:47—o $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$ the one believing (0,5%) X o $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$ $\epsilon\iota\varsigma$ $\epsilon\mu\epsilon$ the one believing into me (99.5%)
- John 7:8— $ov\kappa$ not [3%] X $ov\pi\omega$ not yet [96,5%]
- Luke 4:44 (Mark 1:39, Matthew 4:23)— $Iov\delta\alpha\iota\alpha\varsigma$ of Judea (4,1%) X $\Gamma\alpha\lambda\iota\lambda\alpha\iota\alpha\varsigma$ of Galilee (94,7%)
- John 1:18—μονογενης θεος an only begotten god (0,3%) || ο μονογενης θεος the only begotten god (0,1%) X ο μονογενης νιος the only begotten son (99,6%)
- 1 Timothy 3:16— o_{ζ} who (1%) X $\Theta \epsilon o_{\zeta}$ God (98,5%)
- Mark 16:9-20—absent (0,2%) X present (99,8%)
- John 7:53-8:11—absent (15%) X present (85%)
- Luke 3:33— $\tau ov~A \delta \mu \iota \nu~\tau ov~A \rho \nu \iota$ of Admin, of Arni [0.00%] (it is a 'patchwork quilt' put together on the basis of at least ten variants) X $\tau ov~A \rho \alpha \mu$ of Aram [95%]
- Matthew 19:17 (X Mark 10:18, Luke 18:19)— $\tau\iota$ με ερωτας περι του αγαθου Why do you ask me concerning the good? (0,9%) X $\tau\iota$ με λεγεις αγαθου Why do you call me good? (99%)
- Luke $23:45 \epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota \pi o \nu \tau o \zeta$ being eclipsed (0,8%) X $\epsilon \sigma \kappa o \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ was darkened (97,5%)
- Mark 6:22 (Matthew 14:6)— $\alpha \nu \tau \sigma \nu \dots H \rho \omega \delta \iota \alpha \delta \sigma \zeta$ his [daughter] Herodias (1,3%) Χ $\alpha \nu \tau \eta \zeta \tau \eta \zeta$ $H \rho \omega \delta \iota \alpha \delta \sigma \zeta$ Herodias' own [daughter] (97,2%)
- John 6:11 (Matthew 14:19, Mark 6:41, Luke 9:16)—omission [3%] X $\tau o \iota \varsigma \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma o \iota \delta \epsilon \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ to the disciples and the disciples [97%]
- Acts 19:16— $\alpha\mu\phi\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\omega\nu$ both [5%] X $\alpha\nu\tau\omega\nu$ them [90%]
- Matthew 1:7,10— $A\sigma\alpha\phi$, $A\mu o\varsigma$ Asaph, Amos [2%] X $A\sigma\alpha$, $A\mu o\nu$ Asa, Amon [98%]
- Matthew 5:22 (see Ephesians 4:26, Psalm 4:4)—omission (1.9%) X εικη without cause (96.2%)
- 1 Corinthians 5:1— $ov\delta\epsilon$ $\epsilon\nu$ $to\iota\varsigma$ $\epsilon\theta\nu\epsilon\sigma\iota\nu$ does not exist even among the Gentiles (3,2%) X $ov\delta\epsilon$ $\epsilon\nu$ $to\iota\varsigma$ $\epsilon\theta\nu\epsilon\sigma\iota\nu$ $ovo\mu\alpha\zeta\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ is not named even among the Gentiles (96,8%)
- John 18:24— $\alpha\pi\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\lambda\epsilon\nu$ $ou\nu$ then he sent [9%] X $\alpha\pi\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\lambda\epsilon\nu$ he had sent [90%]
- Matthew 10:10 (Mark 6:8)— $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ ραβδον neither a staff [5%] X $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ ραβδονς neither staves [95%]
- Mark 1:2 (see Malachi 3:1, Isaiah 40:3)— $\tau\omega$ $I\sigma\alpha\iota\alpha$ $\tau\omega$ $\pi\rho o\phi\eta\tau\eta$ in Isaiah the prophet (3,1%) X $\tau o\iota\varsigma$ $\pi\rho o\phi\eta\tau\alpha\iota\varsigma$ in the prophets (96,7%)
- Acts 28:13— $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\lambda o\nu\tau\epsilon\zeta$ removing [something] [5%] X $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\lambda\theta o\nu\tau\epsilon\zeta$ tacking back and forth [95%]
- 2 Peter 3:10— $\epsilon \nu \rho \epsilon \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ will be found (3,2%) X $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ will be burned up (93,6%)
- Jude 15— $\pi\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu$ $\psi\nu\chi\eta\nu$ every soul (0,5%) X $\pi\alpha\nu\tau\alpha\varsigma$ $\tau\sigma\nu\varsigma$ $\alpha\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\iota\varsigma$ all the wicked (97,8%)

- Luke 9:10(12)—πολιν καλουμενην Bηθσαιδα a town named Bethsaida [0,5%] X τοπον ερημον πολεως καλουμενης Bηθσαιδα a deserted place belonging to a town named Bethsaida [98%]
- Matthew 21:5— $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\epsilon\pi\iota$ $\pi\omega\lambda o\nu$ and on a colt (2%) X $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\pi\omega\lambda o\nu$ that is, a colt (98%)
- Mark 10:24— $\pi\omega\zeta$ δυσκολον εστιν εις την βασιλειαν του θεου εισελθειν how hard it is to enter the kingdom of God (0,4%) Χ $\pi\omega\zeta$ δυσκολον εστιν τους πεποιθοτας επι χρημασιν εις την βασιλειαν του θεου εισελθειν how hard it is for those who trust in riches to enter the kingdom of God (99,5%)
- Matthew 1:25—νιον a son (0,5%) X τον νιον αντης τον πρωτοτοκον her son, the firstborn (99,5%)
- Matthew 6:13—omission (1,3%) X στι σου εστιν η βασιλεια και η δυναμις και η δοξα εις τους αιωνας because yours is the kingdom and the power and the glory forever (98,7%)
- John 5:3b-4—omission (0,8%) X "—waiting for the moving of the water; because an angel would go down from time to time into the pool and stir up the water—then the first one to get in after the stirring of the water became well of whatever disease was holding him" (99,2%) [NIV has an insulting footnote that adds the injury of making the angel "of the Lord" (following some 2% of the manuscripts).]
- Luke 2:14— $\epsilon \nu$ ανθρωποις $\epsilon \nu \delta ο κι \alpha \varsigma$ toward men of goodwill (0,4%) X $\epsilon \nu$ ανθρωποις $\epsilon \nu \delta ο κι \alpha$ goodwill toward men (99,4%) [1627 MSS X 6 MSS]
- Luke 2:33— $o \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \alpha \nu \tau o \nu$ his father (0,9%) X $\iota \omega \sigma \eta \phi$ Joseph (98,8%)
- Luke 22:43-44—omission (1,3%) X the two verses (98,7%) This is important information that is only recorded here; it is a perversity to challenge it on the basis of such weak evidence.
- Mark15:39—omission (0,4%) X $\kappa\rho\alpha\xi\alpha\varsigma$ shouting (98,6%) (The picture is severely changed.)

<u>Comment</u>: there are many hundreds of other errors (in the 'critical' text) that damage the Text, even though it may not be possible to describe them as errors of fact or obvious contradictions. But they have a cumulative effect that is certainly negative.